

Protonation State of Methyltetrahydrofolate in a Binary Complex with Cobalamin-Dependent Methionine Synthase[†]

April E. Smith[‡] and Rowena G. Matthews^{*,§}

Departments of Medicinal Chemistry and Biological Chemistry and Biophysics Research Division, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1055

Received June 22, 2000; Revised Manuscript Received August 14, 2000

ABSTRACT: *N*5-Methyltetrahydrofolate (CH₃-H₄folate) donates a methyl group to the cob(I)alamin cofactor in the reaction catalyzed by cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase (MetH, EC 2.1.1.3). Nucleophilic displacement of a methyl group attached to a tertiary amine is a reaction without an obvious precedent in bioorganic chemistry. Activation of CH₃-H₄folate by protonation prior to transfer of the methyl group has been the favored mechanism. Protonation at N5 would lead to formation of an aminium cation, and quaternary amines such as 5,5-dimethyltetrahydropterin have been shown to transfer methyl groups to cob(I)alamin. Because CH₃-H₄folate is an enamine, protonation could occur either at N5 to form an aminium cation or on a conjugated carbon with formation of an iminium cation. We used ¹³C distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) NMR spectroscopy to infer that CH₃-H₄folate in aqueous solution protonates at N5, not on carbon. CH₃-H₄folate must eventually protonate at N5 to form the product H₄folate; however, this protonation could occur either upon formation of the binary enzyme-CH₃-H₄folate complex or later in the reaction mechanism. Protonation at N5 is accompanied by substantial changes in the visible absorbance spectrum of CH₃-H₄folate. We have measured the spectral changes associated with binding of CH₃-H₄folate to a catalytically competent fragment of MetH over the pH range from 5.5 to 8.5. These studies indicate that CH₃-H₄folate is bound in the unprotonated form throughout this pH range and that protonated CH₃-H₄folate does not bind to the enzyme. Our observations are rationalized by sequence homologies between the folate-binding region of MetH and dihydropteroate synthase, which suggest that the pterin ring is bound in the hydrophobic core of an α₈β₈ barrel in both enzymes. The results from these studies are difficult to reconcile with an S_N2 mechanism for methyl transfer and suggest that the presence of the cobalamin cofactor is important for CH₃-H₄folate activation. We propose that protonation of N5 occurs after carbon–nitrogen bond cleavage, and we invoke a mechanism involving oxidative addition of Co¹⁺ to the N5–methyl bond to rationalize our results.

Cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase from *Escherichia coli* (MetH or methyltetrahydrofolate:homocysteine methyltransferase; EC 2.1.1.3) catalyzes the methylation of homocysteine with methyl groups derived from CH₃-H₄folate; its cobalamin prosthetic group serves as an intermediary in the methyl transfer. During turnover, the methylcobalamin form of the enzyme is demethylated by homocysteine, forming cob(I)alamin and methionine. The cob(I)alamin cofactor is then remethylated by CH₃-H₄folate, forming methylcobalamin and H₄folate (1). Each substrate is bound

to a defined module of this 1227 amino acid polypeptide (2), and the enzyme-bound cobalamin cofactor must interact with each substrate in turn.

The N-terminal module binds homocysteine and activates it for nucleophilic attack on methylcobalamin (3). This module, MetH(2–353), has been separately expressed and purified. MetH(2–353) exhibits homology with betaine: homocysteine methyltransferase (3, 4), an enzyme that catalyzes methyl transfer from a quaternary amine to homocysteine without the assistance of any organic cofactor.

Residues 353–649 form a module that binds and activates CH₃-H₄folate. This module shows significant sequence homology to AcsE, a methyltransferase from *Clostridium thermoaceticum* (5). AcsE binds CH₃-H₄folate and catalyzes methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to the corrin of a separate protein, AcsD, in the Wood–Ljungdahl CO₂-fixation pathway (6, 7). MetH(353–649) also has sequence homology with two other methyl transfer enzymes: MtrH from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (8) and CmuB from *Methylbacterium* sp. strain CM4 (9). MtrH transfers methyl groups from methyltetrahydromethanopterin to the cob(I)-amide form of MtrA; both MtrH and MtrA form part of a membrane-associated multienzyme complex responsible for methylation of coenzyme M (ethanethiol sulfonate) in support

[†] This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Research Grant GM24908 (R.G.M.) and Pharmacological Sciences Training Grant T32 GM007767 (A.E.S.).

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail rmatthew@umich.edu. Tel (734) 764-9459. Fax (734) 764-3323.

[‡] Department of Medicinal Chemistry.

[§] Department of Biological Chemistry and Biophysics Research Division.

¹ Abbreviations: MetH, methionine synthase; CH₃-H₄folate, 5-methyltetrahydrofolate; H₄folate, tetrahydrofolate; MES, 2-(*N*-morpholino)-ethanesulfonate; AMT, buffer containing acetic acid, 2-(*N*-morpholino)-ethanesulfonate (MES), and triethanolamine; DEPT, distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer; DHPS, dihydropteroate synthase; AcsE, methyltetrahydrofolate:corrinoid Fe/S protein methyltransferase; CH₃-H₄PteGlu₁, 5-methyltetrahydropteroyl monoglutamate; CH₃-H₄PteGlu₃, 5-methyltetrahydropteroyl triglutamate; H₄PteGlu₃, tetrahydropteroyl triglutamate.

of methanogenesis (10). CmuB is a corrinoid-containing protein that catalyzes methyl transfer from chloromethane to tetrahydrofolate (11). We expect that MetH(353–649), AcsE, MtrH, and CmuB have similar three-dimensional structures and employ similar mechanisms to activate their methyltetrahydropterin substrates.

The third module of methionine synthase, MetH(650–896), binds the cobalamin prosthetic group (12). An X-ray structure of this module has been determined (12), revealing that the dimethylbenzimidazole nucleotide substituent of the corrin ring, which provides the lower axial ligand to cobalt in free methylcobalamin, has been replaced by a histidine ligand from the protein. All of the corrinoid proteins involved in the methyl transfer reactions discussed above, AcsD, MtrA, and CmuB, bind cobalamin with the dimethylbenzimidazole ligand displaced. AcsD shows the spectral properties of a five-coordinate methylcobalamin, with no ligand in the lower axial position (13), while MtrA (14) and CmuB (9) have histidine ligands derived from the protein in the lower axial position.

The C-terminal module of methionine synthase, MetH(897–1227), is involved in reactivation of the enzyme when the cob(I)alamin prosthetic group becomes oxidized to cob(II)alamin during turnover (15–17). Activation requires a reductive methylation of cob(II)alamin, in which electrons are provided by reduced flavodoxin and the methyl group is donated by AdoMet, which is bound to the C-terminal domain of MetH. Thus far, this module appears uniquely in methionine synthase enzymes.


The two substrate-binding modules can be expressed as a MetH(2–649) fragment (2). Although the MetH(2–649) fragment does not contain the cobalamin binding region, it still is able to catalyze methyl transfer to homocysteine when supplied with exogenous methylcobalamin and also catalyzes methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to exogenous cob(I)-alamin. The rate constants for catalysis of these reactions by MetH(2–649) are identical to those for the MetH(2–1227) holoenzyme, when the holoenzyme reacts with exogenous cobalamin under the same conditions (2). In addition, MetH(2–649) has recently been found to catalyze turnover when supplied with separately expressed and purified MetH(649–1227); the rate of turnover is first-order in each component and characterized by a rate constant of $\sim 50\,000\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ (Vahe Bandarian and Rowena Matthews, unpublished data). Thus MetH(2–649) is highly active in catalysis of the homocysteine and methyltetrahydrofolate half-reactions.

The two methyl transfer reactions that comprise the turnover cycle of MetH have generally been assumed to proceed by S_N2 mechanisms. The overall methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to homocysteine catalyzed by MetH proceeds with retention of stereochemistry at the transferred methyl group, in agreement with the expectation for a double displacement mechanism requiring two successive inversions of chirality (18). Cob(I)alamin is an excellent nucleophile, some 40 000-fold more reactive toward methyl iodide than benzylthiolate (19, 20). The H₄folate anion is, however, an extremely poor leaving group, so that CH₃-H₄folate must be activated prior to nucleophilic displacement of the methyl group, presumably by protonation of N5. Model studies show that a 5,5-dimethyltetrahydropterin can serve as a methyl donor to cob(I)alamin (21, 22), and by analogy we expect

that formation of an aminium or iminium cation at N5 could serve to activate CH₃-H₄folate. CH₃-H₄folate binds MetH in its unprotonated form at pH 7.4, and the affinity of the enzyme for CH₃-H₄folate does not decrease appreciably as the pH is lowered to 5.5 (23). However, these studies do not preclude an internal proton transfer between a general acid catalyst in the protein and bound CH₃-H₄folate. While protonation at N5 is required to form the H₄folate product, it could occur either in the binary enzyme–CH₃-H₄folate complex, during methyl transfer, or on departure of the H₄folate product from the enzyme.

Protonation of CH₃-H₄folate in solution is associated with a pK_a of 5.05 as measured by fluorescence spectroscopy (24). However, since N5 is the nitrogen of an enamine, protonation might occur either on the nitrogen (N5) or on carbon (C8a or C2) (Chart 1). The position of CH₃-H₄folate protonation

Chart 1



in solution had not been determined previously. DEPT NMR experiments described in this paper show that protonation does not occur at C8a or C2 in solution and support N5 protonation. Furthermore, we also show that CH₃-H₄folate is not protonated in the binary enzyme–CH₃-H₄folate complex, suggesting that protonation at N5 must occur at a later step in the reaction sequence. We present a mechanistic proposal for the methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to cob(I)alamin that is consistent with our observations.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials. PteGlu₃ was purchased from Schircks Laboratories (Jona, Switzerland). (6S)-CH₃-H₄folate and (6S)-H₄folate were the kind gift of Eprova (Schaffhausen, Switzerland). Phenol red dye was obtained from Sigma, as was chicken liver dihydrofolate reductase.

Synthesis and Purification of (6S)-H₄PteGlu₃. CH₃-H₄PteGlu₃ and H₄PteGlu₃ were synthesized from PteGlu₃ as previously described (25). The original procedure employed dihydrofolate reductase from *Lactobacillus casei*, which can reduce PteGlu₃ directly to H₄PteGlu₃; the procedure was altered slightly to allow for the use of chicken liver dihydrofolate reductase, which is commercially available but which does not reduce PteGlu₃ to H₂PteGlu₃ (26). The PteGlu₃ was first reduced to H₂PteGlu₃ with sodium dithionite (27). H₂Pte(Glu)₃ was precipitated by slow addition of 5 mL of 1 M HCl, and the precipitate was collected by centrifugation and redissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.2, containing 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. Chicken liver dihydrofolate reductase (5 units) was incubated overnight at room temperature with the H₂PteGlu₃ and 1 mM NADPH to form (6S)-H₄PteGlu₃. H₄PteGlu₃ was purified as previously described (25).

Construction of Mutations of MetH(2–649). Aspartate to asparagine mutations were introduced by overlap extension PCR as previously described (2). The primers used to make the mutation Asp399Asn were as follows: (coding) 5'-GGCGCGCAGATTATCAATATCAACATGGATG-3' (nt

1180–1210); (noncoding) 5'-CATCCATGTTGATATTGA-TAATCTGCGCGCC-3' (nt 1210–1180). The nucleotide (nt) numbering is that shown in the GenBank sequence J04975 of *metH* (28). Altered bases are underlined. In the Asp399Asn mutation, the underlined base change at nt 1195 removed the *Cla*I restriction site; loss of the site was used to screen the recombinant plasmids for the mutation. The primers used to construct the Asp434Asn mutation were as follows: (coding) 5'-GTGCCGATTATGATCAACTCCTCAAAATGG-3' (nt 1285–1314); (noncoding) 5'-CCATTTTGAGGAGT-TGATCATAATCGGCAC-3' (nt 1314–1285). The base change at 1295 introduced a new *Bcl*I restriction site, which was used in screening recombinant plasmids for the mutation. The Asp522Asn MetH(2–649) was prepared with the following primers: (coding) 5'-GCCAGAAGATATAATAT-TCAACCCAAACATCTTCGC-3' (nt 1546–1581); (non-coding) 5'-GCGAAGATGTTTGGGTTGAATATTATA-TCTTCTGGC-3' (nt 1581–1546). Two silent changes were introduced at 1558 and 1561, which provided a screen for the mutation by introducing a *Ssp*I restriction site. The third mutation, at 1565, generated the Asp522Asn mutation. Once the desired fragment was produced by PCR, the fragment was cloned into an expression plasmid pCWG-02 (2) containing the wild-type *metH* gene with dual stop codons at 1270–1276. Both the PCR fragment and the expression plasmid were cut with the unique restriction enzymes *Apa*I and *Dra*III to construct the Asp399Asn and Asp434Asn mutations and with *Cla*I and *Dra*III to construct the Asp522Asn mutation. The cut plasmids were gel-purified, combined with the cut PCR fragments, and ligated by previously described procedures (2). Sequences of the mutant expression plasmids were confirmed with restriction enzyme assays and by DNA sequencing at the University of Michigan Sequencing Core Facility.

Expression and Purification of MetH(2–649) Wild-Type and Mutant Fragments. Construction and characterization of the overexpression plasmid pCWG02 for production of the wild-type MetH(2–649) has been described, as has the purification of the overexpressed recombinant fragment (2). However, a slightly altered enzyme purification method was used in this study. The cells were grown, pelleted, and sonicated as described previously, but no protease inhibitors were added to the sonicated cells. Only one column, an anion-exchange Q-Sepharose gravity-flow column (Pharmacia), was used for purification. MetH(2–649) can be purified to apparent homogeneity in a single chromatographic step due to the very high expression level of this fragment. Enzyme purity was determined by SDS–PAGE, and enzyme concentration was determined by a Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad).

Assays for Catalytic Activity of MetH(2–649). Methylcobalamin:homocysteine and CH₃-H₄folate:cob(I)alamin methyltransferase assays were performed as previously described (29). Briefly, methylcobalamin:homocysteine methyltransferase activity was monitored spectrophotometrically at 37 °C by following the demethylation of methylcobalamin at 525 nm under anaerobic conditions. CH₃-H₄folate:cob(I)alamin methyltransferase activity was measured with radioactive [*methyl*-¹⁴C]CH₃-H₄folate by monitoring formation of [*methyl*-¹⁴C]cobalamin.

¹³C-DEPT NMR Spectroscopy. (6S)-CH₃-H₄folate, labeled with ¹³C at natural abundance, was dissolved to a final

concentration of 20 mM in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH values ranging from 4 to 8. The pH was adjusted as needed with HCl or NaOH. Approximately 4% D₂O was included as the lock signal. ¹³C spectra were taken on a 300 MHz Varian NMR spectrometer at 25 °C using the 135° DEPT pulse sequence (30). Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane and were determined with reference to the internal standard methanol (10 mM CH₃OH). The C6 and N5-CH₃ shift data were analyzed by plotting the change in chemical shift in ppm ($\Delta\delta$) as the pH is lowered and fitting the data to eq 1 to obtain the pK_a associated with the shift. In this equation, b_H represents the maximum shift in ppm at low pH (31)

$$\Delta\delta = \frac{b_H 10^{(pH-pK_a)}}{1 + 10^{(pH-pK_a)}} \quad (1)$$

Determination of Proton Release/Uptake Associated with Substrate Binding. We employed a previously described method for detecting proton uptake or release on substrate binding using the pH indicator dye phenol red (32). These experiments were performed with 50 μ M MetH(2–649) in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, and 100 μ M KCl at 25 °C. The pK_a for phenol red was determined to be 7.6 under these conditions. The experiments were performed at pH 7.5–7.7 in order to observe maximal changes in the absorbance of phenol red as the pH of the solution changed.

Determination of the Absorbance Changes Associated with Binding of CH₃-H₄folate and H₄folate to MetH(2–649) or Introduction of Folate Substrates into Hydrophobic Solvents. Experiments were performed in matched Yankelov (double sector) cells and a double-beam Cary 300 Bio spectrophotometer. All experiments were performed with MetH(2–649) and the pure 6S isomer of either CH₃-H₄folate or H₄folate. The buffer used for these titrations, AMT (50 mM acetic acid, 50 mM MES, and 100 mM triethanolamine), was chosen because the ionic strength is constant over the pH range from 5 to 9, as previously reported (33). The pH of the AMT buffer was adjusted with 10 M NaOH. Both cuvettes contained enzyme, 10–20 μ M, in the front sector and an equal volume of buffer in the back sector. A stock solution of CH₃-H₄folate or H₄folate (3–10 mM) was added to the front compartment of the sample cuvette and the back compartment of the reference cuvette. An equal volume of buffer was added to the front compartment of the reference cuvette. Titrations were performed in air-saturated solutions. H₄folate oxidation was not observed, as assessed by examination of UV spectra during the short time span (5 min) of these experiments.

Difference spectra were recorded for CH₃-H₄folate under acidic and neutral conditions in both aqueous and hydrophobic solvents. The reference cuvette contained AMT buffer, pH 7.2, in both compartments, while the sample cuvette contained AMT buffer, pH 3, in both compartments. Then CH₃-H₄folate (10 μ M final) was introduced into the front compartment of both cuvettes and a spectrum was taken. The stock solution of 3 mM CH₃-H₄folate was prepared in AMT buffer, pH 7.2. For the acetonitrile difference spectra, the same method was followed, but 10 μ M CH₃-H₄folate was mixed with 80% acetonitrile and 20% AMT buffer, pH 3 (sample) or 7.2 (reference).

Spectral Simulations of MetH(2–649) Binding to CH₃-H₄Folate as a Function of pH. Difference spectra were simulated for CH₃-H₄folate binding to MetH(2–649) at pH values ranging from 5 to 9 to clarify the origin of the observed absorbance changes. For these simulations, the spectra for free CH₃-H₄folate in AMT buffer, pH 7.2 and 3, were used in calculating the spectra for free unprotonated or protonated CH₃-H₄folate, respectively. We assumed that the spectrum of bound CH₃-H₄folate was independent of the solution pH and used the spectrum determined at pH 7.2 in AMT buffer for binding of 12 μ M CH₃-H₄PteGlu₃, which binds more tightly than the monoglutamate, with 10 μ M MetH(2–649). Difference spectra were calculated for the pH values 5.4 and 5.7. Under these conditions, it has been determined that 95% of CH₃-H₄PteGlu₃ is enzyme-bound (data not shown). The pK_a of 5.05 for protonation at N5 of CH₃-H₄folate was used to determine that 36% of free CH₃-H₄folate would be protonated at pH 5.4 and 18% of free CH₃-H₄folate would be protonated at pH 5.7. With these assumptions, we were able to calculate the spectra of the bound and free CH₃-H₄folate in the sample cuvette and subtract the calculated spectrum of the free CH₃-H₄folate in the reference cuvette to obtain the difference spectrum for CH₃-H₄folate binding to MetH(2–649) at pH 5.4 and 5.7.

Determination of CH₃-H₄Folate Binding by Equilibrium Centrifugation Assay. Rapid centrifuge filtration was used to measure a K_d for CH₃-H₄folate binding to MetH(2–649) (34) at pH 7.2. A stock solution of (6R,S)-[methyl-¹⁴C]CH₃-H₄folate was dissolved in 8 mM sodium ascorbate. [methyl-¹⁴C]CH₃-H₄folate (0–300 μ M) was mixed with 20 μ M enzyme, and the mixture was centrifuged in a Microcon filtration unit (Millipore) with a cutoff of 50 kDa. This filtration unit allowed unbound [methyl-¹⁴C]CH₃-H₄folate to pass through the filter, but all the enzyme was retained. Aliquots of the top and bottom solutions were taken and the concentration of ¹⁴C in each fraction was measured in a scintillation counter. The concentration of bound substrate ([ES]) was determined by subtracting the counts per minute (cpm) due to free substrate in the bottom fraction from the cpm due to free and bound substrate in the top fraction. A control experiment lacking enzyme was performed in order to establish that the [methyl-¹⁴C]CH₃-H₄folate was not retained by the filter. The data were analyzed by plotting [ES] vs [S]_t, the total substrate concentration, and fitting the curve to the quadratic binding eq 2 (35) to obtain a K_d for CH₃-H₄folate binding:

$$[ES] = \frac{([E]_t + [S]_t + K_d) - \sqrt{([E]_t + [S]_t + K_d)^2 - 4[E]_t[S]_t}}{2} \quad (2)$$

RESULTS

Determination of the Site of Protonation of CH₃-H₄Folate by DEPT NMR Spectroscopy. CH₃-H₄folate in aqueous solution has a pK_a of 5.05 associated with the protonation of N5, as detected by measurement of fluorescence changes as the pH is lowered (24). Since N5 of CH₃-H₄folate is the nitrogen of an enamine, protonation could occur either on the nitrogen or on a conjugated carbon (C8a or C2). To determine where free CH₃-H₄folate protonates at low pH

values, a ¹³C-DEPT 135 NMR pulse sequence was used (30) with a sample of CH₃-H₄folate labeled at natural abundance with ¹³C. In a DEPT 135 experiment, magnetization transfer occurs between hydrogens and the ¹³C carbon to which they are attached. Carbons lacking a hydrogen substituent are not seen in a DEPT 135 NMR experiment. The DEPT 135 pulse sequence results in an inversion of the methylene carbon signals with respect to the methyl and methenyl carbons.

The NMR spectra shown in Figure 1 were obtained with (6S)-CH₃-H₄folate in aqueous potassium phosphate buffer ranging in pH from 3.9 to 8.0. At neutral pH, the ¹³C chemical shifts compared well with the published chemical shifts for CH₃-H₄PteGlu₁ (36). Changes in the resonances ($\Delta\delta$ in ppm) were plotted versus pH for analysis (Figure 2). A downfield shift of 2.4 ppm for the CH₃-N5 signal was observed as the pH was lowered, indicating development of positive charge near the methyl group, e.g., due to protonation of N5. The pK_a for this shift is 5.3 ± 0.1 , which is close to the published pK_a value (5.05) for protonation of CH₃-H₄folate (24). A similar downfield shift was observed for the C6 signal, which is also consistent with protonation at N5. We would expect protonation on C8a or C2 to result in the appearance of a methine peak with a chemical shift of 40–90 ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (37). Therefore, if C8a or C2 were protonated at low pH, a methine signal would be detected that would disappear as the sample pH was raised. No new signal was seen even at pH 3.9, indicating that neither C8a nor C2 was being protonated under these conditions. These results indicate that CH₃-H₄folate in aqueous solution protonates primarily at N5 rather than at C8a or C2. The next question we wanted to address was whether CH₃-H₄folate was protonated upon binding MetH, and conversely, whether H₄folate was deprotonated upon binding.

H₄Folate Does Not Release a Proton to Solution When Binding to MetH(2–649). CH₃-H₄folate must protonate at N5 during its conversion to H₄folate. This protonation could occur either upon binding to MetH to form an enzyme–CH₃-H₄folate binary complex, during methyl transfer, or upon release of H₄folate to solution. By use of the pH indicator dye phenol red and a weakly buffered enzyme solution, it is possible to detect micromolar changes in proton concentration as a folate substrate is titrated into a solution containing MetH. It has previously been shown that binding of CH₃-H₄folate to MetH at pH 7.6 does not result in proton uptake from or release to solution (32).

We have now examined H₄folate binding to MetH(2–649) using the same method. In this experiment, the enzyme was titrated with (6S)-H₄PteGlu₃ in the presence of phenol red at pH 7.6. No proton release to or uptake from solution was detected as H₄PteGlu₃ was titrated into a solution containing MetH(2–649) (data not shown). The results from these experiments show that neither CH₃-H₄folate nor H₄Pte(Glu)₃ releases or takes up a proton from solution upon binding to MetH at pH 7.6. Protonation of bound CH₃-H₄folate or deprotonation of bound H₄folate could, however, occur by proton transfer within the enzyme active site without release or uptake of a proton to/from solvent. The next series of experiments was designed to assess this possibility.

UV/Visible Absorbance Changes Associated with Binding of CH₃-H₄Folate to MetH(2–649). When CH₃-H₄folate binds MetH(2–649) at pH 7.2, there is a slight red shift in the




FIGURE 1: ^{13}C -DEPT-135 NMR spectra at pH 7.2 (top) and pH 3.9 (bottom), with the $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ numbering system shown above the spectra. Peaks are reported as chemical shifts in parts per million (ppm, δ) with respect to tetramethylsilane, and positions were assigned by comparison with the internal standard methanol. Assignments at pH 7.2 were made by comparison with previous published data (36), while the assignments at pH 3.9 could be inferred from incremental shifts as the pH was lowered.




FIGURE 2: Chemical shift changes associated with protonation of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$. ^{13}C -DEPT NMR spectra of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ at pH 3.9–8.0 show a downfield shift of 2.4 ppm for the N5 methyl group as $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ protonates (\blacktriangle) (pK_a of 5.3 ± 0.1). The chemical shift of C6 (\square) also shifts downfield by 2.4 ppm as the pH is lowered. By comparison, there is no change in chemical shift for the peak associated with C3' and C5' as the pH is lowered (\bullet).

UV absorption spectrum of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$, giving rise to a difference spectrum (bound – free) with an absorbance maximum at 308 nm and a molar absorbance coefficient of $4300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Figure 3). The absorbance at 308 nm increases with increasing $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ concentration in a saturable fashion. The K_d for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binding to MetH

at pH 7.2 was determined by equilibrium centrifugation binding to be $9 \mu\text{M}$, and because this value is smaller than the concentration of enzyme ($20 \mu\text{M}$) used in measuring the absorbance changes associated with binding, binding is almost stoichiometric in the initial stages of the reaction.

The absorbance changes associated with introduction of neutral aqueous solutions of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ into acidic or hydrophobic solutions were used as references in determining the origin of the spectral changes seen as $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binds MetH(2–649). The $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ spectrum at pH 3 is very similar to the spectrum previously reported for H_4folate at pH 3 (38). When $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ is protonated at N5, a large shoulder appears at 264 nm and there is a decrease in absorption at 290 nm (Figure 5a). The spectra of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in increasing concentrations of acetonitrile were examined to determine changes in the spectrum of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ upon transfer from an aqueous to a hydrophobic environment. When $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ was transferred from aqueous solution to 80% acetonitrile and 20% AMT, pH 7.2, the spectrum was red-shifted, resulting in a difference spectrum (hydrophobic solvent – aqueous control) with an absorbance maximum at 306 nm and an extinction coefficient of $3800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Figure 4b). We also determined the absorbance changes associated with protonation of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in a more hydrophobic solvent, 80% acetonitrile and 20% AMT, pH 3, to establish the spectral changes expected




FIGURE 3: Difference spectra associated with $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binding to MetH(2-649) at pH 7.2. The initial trace, shown by the solid line with the lowest absorbance at 300 nm, is the difference spectrum seen before any substrate has been added and shows that equal concentrations of enzyme ($20\ \mu\text{M}$) are in the front compartments of the sectorized sample and reference Yankelev cuvettes. $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{Folate}$ was titrated into the front compartment of the sample cuvette and the rear compartment of the reference cuvette and spectra were measured after addition of 5, 10, 15, 20, and $25\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$. The absorbance increase at 308 nm was plotted versus $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ concentration (inset). These data were fitted with the assumption of stoichiometric binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to the enzyme during the initial phases of the titration. The slight absorbance increase at 308 nm after addition of $25\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ is an optical artifact seen at high $\alpha\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ absorbances.

for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ protonation while bound in a hydrophobic enzyme active site. Again, protonation is associated with increased absorbance at 264 nm and decreased absorbance at 290 nm (Figure 5b).

In summary, the difference spectrum observed for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binding to MetH(2-649) at pH 7.2 most closely mimics the difference spectrum associated with transfer of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ into acetonitrile, consistent with the observed changes in the $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ spectrum as it binds to MetH(2-649) being due to transfer to a more hydrophobic environment. Furthermore, the spectral changes associated with protonation of free $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$, in both aqueous and hydrophobic solutions, are opposite to the observed spectral changes at 264 and 308 nm associated with binding to the enzyme. From these data, it is clear that $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ is not being protonated upon binding MetH(2-649) at pH 7.2.

H_4Folate binding to MetH(2-649) also resulted in similar changes in UV absorption, although the difference absorbance maximum was at 313 nm and the extinction coefficient was $5300\ \text{M}^{-1}\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ (data not shown). The 15 nm red shift in the absorbance maximum associated with H_4folate binding to the enzyme (from 298 to 313 nm) is similar to the 18 nm red shift seen for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binding (from 290 to 308 nm). These spectral changes can also be explained by H_4folate moving from an aqueous environment to a more hydrophobic environment as it binds to MetH(2-649).

MetH(2-649) Preferentially Binds Unprotonated $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{Folate}$. Measurements of the difference spectra associated with $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binding to MetH were made over the pH range where the enzyme is stable, from 5.4 to 9. Figure 6a shows the observed changes in the difference spectra for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{PteGlu}_3$ binding MetH(2-649) as the pH is lowered from pH 7.2 to 5.4. As the pH is lowered, the decrease in absorbance at 264 nm and the increase at 308 nm associated




FIGURE 4: Comparison of UV/visible absorption changes associated with binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to MetH(2-649) and on introduction of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ into 80% acetonitrile. The solid line in both panels represents the spectrum for $10\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in AMT buffer, pH 7.2. (a) Absorbance changes associated with binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to MetH(2-649). The dotted line is the absorbance spectrum obtained when $10\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binds to an excess of MetH(2-649) after subtraction of the absorbance due to MetH(2-649). The difference spectrum (bound - free $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$) is indicated by the dashed line. This difference spectrum has an absorbance maximum at 308 nm with an extinction coefficient of $4300\ \text{M}^{-1}\ \text{s}^{-1}$. (b) Absorbance changes associated with introduction of an aqueous solution of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ into 80% acetonitrile. The dotted line shows the UV/visible absorption spectrum for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in 80% acetonitrile/20% AMT buffer, pH 7.2. The difference spectrum (acetonitrile - buffer) is shown by the dashed line and is characterized by an absorbance maximum at 306 nm with an extinction coefficient of $3800\ \text{M}^{-1}\ \text{s}^{-1}$.

with binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to MetH become even more prominent. Simulations of spectral changes were used in order to predict and explain the changes in UV spectra that were experimentally observed; the protocol for these simulations is described under Experimental Procedures. Figure 6b shows the predicted difference spectra at pH 5.4 and 5.7 calculated with the assumption that MetH(2-649) binds only unprotonated $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$. The observed spectral changes in Figure 6a match the simulated spectra in Figure 6b quite well. The simulations reproduce the increased magnitude of the dip in the difference spectrum at 264 nm as the pH is lowered from pH 7.2 to 5.4. The change in absorbance at 264 nm was measured in the presence of saturating $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ and MetH(2-649) for pH values from 5.3 to 9.0 and plotted versus pH in Figure 6c. The estimated pK_a of 5.1 closely matches the pK_a for N5 of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$. Such a decrease at 264 nm would be expected if there were a higher concentration of protonated $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in the reference




FIGURE 5: UV/visible absorption changes associated with protonation of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in aqueous buffer (a) or in 80% acetonitrile (b). (a) The solid line shows the UV absorption spectrum for $10\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in AMT buffer at pH 7.2. The dotted line shows the spectrum for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in AMT buffer at pH 3; this spectrum is characterized by increased absorbance at 264 nm and decreased absorbance at 290 nm relative to the spectrum at pH 7.2. The dashed line shows the difference spectrum associated with protonation of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in aqueous solution (3.0–7.2). (b) The solid line is the same as in panel a and shows the UV absorption spectrum for $10\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in AMT buffer at pH 7.2. The dotted line shows the spectrum for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ in 80% acetonitrile and 20% AMT buffer, pH 3.0. This spectrum exhibits a prominent shoulder at 264 nm. The difference spectrum (pH 3.0, 80% acetonitrile – pH 7.2, aqueous) is shown with a dashed line. Note that the decrease in absorbance at 290 nm is much greater for protonation in aqueous solution than for protonation in 80% acetonitrile.

cuvette than in the sample cuvette, as would occur if MetH (2–649) preferentially bound unprotonated $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ even at pH 5.3. This experiment indicates that binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to MetH (2–649) is associated with a decrease in the pK_a for protonation at N5, as expected on transfer of this substrate into a hydrophobic environment.

Homology of MetH (353–649) to Dihydropterate Synthase Indicates That the MetH Binding Site for $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{Folate}$ Is in a Hydrophobic Pocket in an $\alpha_8\beta_8$ Barrel. Significant homology between the microbial enzyme dihydropterate synthase (DHPS) and the $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binding region of MetH (residues 353–649) has been found by use of the PSI-BLAST search program (39). PSI-BLAST gives a probability (E value) of 1.0×10^{-11} that MetH (353–649) and DHPS from *S. aureus* are related by chance. DHPS catalyzes the condensation of 6-hydroxymethyldihydropterin pyrophosphate and *p*-aminobenzoate to form dihydropterate and pyrophosphate and thus shares with MetH (353–649) the





FIGURE 6: Comparison of observed and calculated difference spectra for binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to MetH (2–649) as a function of pH. $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{PteGlu}_3$ was used for these titrations, because it binds to MetH more tightly while exhibiting very similar absorbance changes. (a) Observed spectra: pH 7.2, dashed line; pH 5.7, dotted line; pH 5.4, solid line. (b) Calculated spectra. Experimentally observed difference spectrum for binding $12\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{PteGlu}_3$ to $10\ \text{mM}$ MetH (2–649) in AMT buffer at pH 7.2 is shown (dashed line). At pH 5.7 (dotted line) and 5.4 (solid line), difference spectra have been calculated by assuming that only protonated $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{PteGlu}_3$ binds to the enzyme, so that binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{PteGlu}_3$ is associated with spectral changes due to deprotonation of the substrate on binding. Details of calculations are presented under Experimental Procedures. (c) Plot of calculated decrease in absorbance at 264 nm associated with binding of $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ to MetH (2–649) vs pH is shown (solid line), and observed changes in absorbance at 264 at each pH are shown with filled circles. In these experiments $70\ \mu\text{M}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{PteGlu}_1$ was mixed with $20\ \mu\text{M}$ MetH (2–649). Under these conditions the absorbance changes due to binding are nearly maximal, even at the lowest pH value. For the calculated absorbance changes we again assumed that only unprotonated $\text{CH}_3\text{-H}_4\text{folate}$ binds to MetH .



(41) and *E. coli* (42) have recently been solved. Although only seven residues are absolutely conserved in this alignment, the amino acids that are conserved are adjacent to the pterin ring in the DHPS crystal structures. The conserved aspartic acids Asp 84 and Asp 167 (in the numbering system for DHPS from *S. aureus*) shown in boldface type in the

Table 1: Properties of Wild-Type and Mutant MetH(2–649) Enzymes

MetH(2–649)	K_d for CH ₃ -H ₄ folate (μ M)	K_m for CH ₃ -H ₄ folate (μ M)	k_{cat}/K_m for cob(I)alamin ^a (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
wild type	9 \pm 5	60	430
Asp399Asn	70 \pm 20	200	9
Asp434Asn	15 \pm 5	nd ^b	nd
Asp522Asn	> 300	nd	nd

^a The rate constant k_{obs} was determined by the CH₃-H₄folate:cob(I)-alamin methyl transfer assay in the presence of saturating CH₃-H₄folate. The observed rate of methylcobalamin formation was divided by the concentration of MetH(2–649) and that of cob(I)alamin to obtain the second-order rate constant. ^b Not detectable.

alignment are involved in hydrogen bonding with the pterin ring of the DHPS substrate analogue, hydroxymethylpterin pyrophosphate, as also shown schematically in Figure 7. In addition, secondary structure predictions for the CH₃-H₄folate-binding region of MetH (43) align with the known secondary structure of DHPS. DHPS binds its substrate in a hydrophobic active site in the center of an $\alpha_8\beta_8$ barrel, with the side chain at C6 projecting out of the center of the barrel. Recently, the crystal structure for AcsE was solved by Doukov et al., and this protein was also shown to be an $\alpha_8\beta_8$ barrel (58).

To probe the predicted homology to DHPS, each conserved aspartic acid residue shown in the alignment in Figure 7 was mutated to an asparagine residue in MetH(2–649). The mutant enzymes were analyzed for their ability to bind CH₃-H₄folate and to determine their catalytic activity (Table 1). Each mutant enzyme was fully active in the homocysteine:methylcobalamin methyltransferase assay. However, we found no detectable catalytic activity in the CH₃-H₄folate:cob(I)alamin methyltransferase assay for Asp434Asn MetH(2–649) and Asp522Asn MetH(2–649) and a 40-fold reduction in activity for Asp399Asn MetH(2–649). When these mutants were analyzed for their ability to bind CH₃-H₄folate, a drastic reduction in binding affinity was seen in Asp522Asn MetH(2–649); no binding could be detected and the K_d for CH₃-H₄folate was determined to be >300 μ M. An 8-fold decrease in affinity for CH₃-H₄folate was observed for the Asp399Asn mutation. The properties of the mutant enzymes support the notion that the pterin ring of CH₃-H₄folate binds the folate-activating module of MetH in an orientation that resembles that of the pterin ring of DHPS. One of the seven conserved residues in the alignment of DHPS and MetH is Met128 of DHPS from *S. aureus*, which makes a hydrophobic contact with the pterin ring (42). Thus, we expect binding of CH₃-H₄folate to MetH to result in absorbance changes characteristic of transfer of CH₃-H₄folate to a more hydrophobic environment and in a lowered pK_a for protonation at N5.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we describe experiments to probe the role of methionine synthase in activating CH₃-H₄folate for participation in methyl transfer reactions. Two modes of ground-state activation of CH₃-H₄folate can be envisioned. The methyl group is formally transferred as a carbocation. Protonation at either the N5 or C8a position of the tetrahydropterin ring could serve to labilize the methyl group by providing the tetrahydropterin with an “electron sink” to

accept the electrons from the N5–methyl bond. Alternatively, either one- or two-electron oxidation of CH₃-H₄folate could activate CH₃-H₄folate for participation in the methyl transfer reaction. In other words, protonation or oxidation to form an aminium or iminium cation at N5 could facilitate the reaction. Methyl transfers from the aminium cation 5,5-dimethyltetrahydropterin to cob(I)alamin have been documented, but these methyl transfers occurred in low yield (22).

Our studies suggest that activation of CH₃-H₄folate does not occur in the ground-state binary complex with MetH(2–649). CH₃-H₄folate is not protonated in the binary complex with MetH(2–649) throughout the pH range where the enzyme is stable. It is also clear from these studies that CH₃-H₄folate is not activated by oxidation in the binary enzyme–CH₃-H₄folate complex. Oxidation would also lead to distinctive changes in the UV–visible absorption spectrum. For instance, two-electron oxidation of CH₃-H₄folate to 5-methyl-7,8-dihydrofolate is associated with a blue shift in the absorbance maximum (44). Alternatively, oxidation to quinoid 5-methyldihydrofolate is associated with a slight red shift, as seen here, but also with a significant decrease in the molar extinction coefficient (45), which is the opposite of the spectral changes observed in our experiments. An interpretation that is consistent with our current data is that CH₃-H₄folate is introduced into a more hydrophobic environment on binding to the protein, which would be expected to lower the pK_a associated with protonation of N5. The crystal structure of DHPS, which is homologous to the CH₃-H₄folate-binding module of MetH, is consistent with our interpretation of the binding environment of CH₃-H₄folate in MetH.

Our experiments do not exclude the possibility that protonation of CH₃-H₄folate occurs only in the ternary complex with cob(I)alamin, but we know of no precedent for an S_N2 reaction in which group transfer is concerted with proton transfer. We are therefore driven to propose an alternate mechanism for methyl transfer to cob(I)alamin enzymes.

In methanogenic Archaea, a wide variety of compounds serve as methyl donors to corrinoid proteins, including methanol, mono-, di-, and trimethylamines, dimethyl sulfide, and chloromethane (9, 46–50). In these systems, activation by ground-state protonation of the methyl donors is not appealing, because the pK_a values for protonation of these methyl donors range as low as –1.5 for methanol. An attractive mechanism for methyl transfer in MetH(2–649) would also be applicable to all of these methyl donors.

A possible mechanism for methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate is shown in Figure 8. This mechanism does not require protonation or oxidation of CH₃-H₄folate in the binary enzyme–substrate complex and might be applicable to all of the substrates that serve as methyl donors to cobalamin. In this oxidative addition mechanism, the cob(I)alamin cofactor is proposed to form a three-centered bond with enzyme-bound methyltetrahydrofolate: the empty 4s orbital of the cobalt hybridizes with the σ orbital of the N5–methyl bond, while the occupied 3d_{xz} or 3d_{yz} orbital of the cobalt hybridizes with the symmetry-matched σ^* orbital of the N5–methyl bond. These interactions would weaken the N5–methyl bond of CH₃-H₄folate, and the cobalt would serve as a Lewis acid to stabilize the H₄folate leaving group.




FIGURE 8: Proposed mechanism for oxidative addition of cob(I)alamin to the N5-methyl bond of CH₃-H₄folate.

Salient features of this proposal are the parallel orientation of the N–C bond of CH₃-H₄folate with the plane of the corrin ring, and the requirement for dissociation of the lower axial ligand to permit cis addition of tetrahydrofolate to the upper face of methylcobalamin in the reverse direction. In this context, the fact that AcsD lacks a lower axial ligand, while the lower axial ligand in MetH, MtrA, and CmuB is a histidine rather than dimethylbenzimidazole, may suggest that ligand replacement facilitates the dissociation of the lower axial ligand to allow cis addition to the upper face of the corrin. Crystal structures of complexes in which CH₃-H₄folate is positioned for productive interaction would be of great interest because they should reveal the N–CH₃–Co bond angle.

Methyl transfer by an oxidative addition mechanism would be expected to occur with retention of configuration at the transferred methyl group (51). Our earlier observation that the methionine synthase reaction occurs with overall retention of stereochemistry in the transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to homocysteine (18) would then require that methyl transfer from methylcobalamin to homocysteine also occur with retention of stereochemistry, presumably also by an oxidative addition mechanism. Alkylation of cob(I)alamin by quaternary ammonium salts has been proposed as a model for the methionine synthase-catalyzed methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to homocysteine (21, 22), and cob(I)alamin is methylated by 5,5-dimethyltetrahydropterin in low yield (21). The reactions of cobalamin with quaternary ammonium salts are inhibited by bulky substituents, suggesting that they proceed by S_N2 mechanisms. One may now question whether such reactions are indeed appropriate models for the enzyme-catalyzed reaction.

Our proposed mechanism has precedence in the oxidative addition to the N–H bond of ammonia observed with Co^I⁺ in the gas phase (52), where a transient [H–Co–NH₂]¹⁺ adduct can be detected by guided ion beam mass spectrometry. The bond between nitrogen and cobalt in this intermediate is surprisingly strong, with an estimated bond dissociation energy of 62 kcal/mol, and further decomposition of this intermediate by expulsion of •NH₂[–] only occurs at very high energies. In proposing similar mechanisms of oxidative addition for gas-phase cobalt(I) and for cobalamin, one must of course be concerned about steric problems that might be encountered in forming a three-centered bond between the C–N of CH₃-H₄folate and the cobalt of cobalamin. It is not clear whether the dissociation of the lower ligand could permit the cobalt to move out of the plane defined by the equatorial ligands contributed by the corrin ring or whether the corrin ring could distort to reduce steric hindrance

between its substituents and CH₃-H₄folate. However, observations of Silverman and Dolphin (54) are encouraging in this respect. These authors obtained evidence for formation of π complexes between cob(III)alamins and olefins as intermediates in cobalamin-catalyzed solvolysis reactions, suggesting that the corrin ring can distort sufficiently to form cis complexes.

The transition state for methyl transfer from CH₃-H₄folate to homocysteine may occur after N–C bond cleavage and require partial proton transfer to the NR₂[–] leaving group. Since the pK_a of the coordinated NR₂[–] should increase drastically as the N–C bond is cleaved, protonation by a general acid catalyst on the enzyme may occur by the “libido effect” (53); namely, proton transfer would occur spontaneously at that point in the reaction sequence where the pK_a of N5 of H₄folate becomes greater than the pK_a of the general acid catalyst.

Ragsdale and his colleagues (24) have conducted extensive kinetic analysis of the methyl transfer between CH₃-H₄folate and exogenous cob(I)alamin catalyzed by AcsE. These studies demonstrate that *k*_{cat} for methyl transfer increases as the pH is lowered from 8.4 to 6.3. At pH 8.4, *k*_{cat} approaches 0, while at 6.3, *k*_{cat} approaches 1.5 s^{–1}, and the data can be fit to an apparent pK_a of 7.0. Similar profiles were observed for the reaction between CH₃-H₄folate and the corrinoid of AcsD catalyzed by AcsE. Thus their results provide strong evidence for proton transfer in the transition state of the methyl transfer catalyzed by AcsE.

More recent studies on AcsE from the Ragsdale group (55) have used ¹³C NMR to probe the protonation state of (6*R*,5*S*)-[methyl-¹³C]CH₃-H₄folate on binding to AcsE. When CH₃-H₄folate binds to AcsE, the resonance of the methyl carbon broadens and shifts downfield approximately 0.7 ppm as the pH is lowered from 7.6 to 5.1, with an apparent pK_a of 6.28 ± 0.08. This shift is small compared with the 2.4 ppm downfield shift observed on protonation of CH₃-H₄folate in solution, as determined in our studies and in their experiments (55). Although they interpreted their data as indicating protonation of bound CH₃-H₄folate, the small chemical shift might also reflect hydrogen-bond donation to the pterin on binding to the enzyme.

No proton uptake is associated with CH₃-H₄folate binding AcsE at pH 7.6 (55), nor do we see proton uptake when CH₃-H₄folate binds MetH(2–649) at pH 7.6 (32). As the pH is lowered, increasing proton uptake is associated with CH₃-H₄folate binding to AcsE until 0.84 equiv of protons is taken up on binding at pH 5.2 (55). However, the *K*_d for CH₃-H₄folate binding to AcsE is independent of pH over

the pH range from 5.5 to 7.0 (55), an observation that conflicts with their proton uptake measurements.

The proposed oxidative addition mechanism for the CH₃-H₄folate:cob(I)alamin methyl transfer reaction catalyzed by MetH is consistent with the data presented here and with much of the mechanistic data for AcsE. This mechanism also provides a common mechanism for cob(I)alamin methyl transfer enzymes that utilize methanol or methylamines as substrates. In the case of methanol, oxidative addition would produce hydroxide coordinated to the cobalt and thus neatly avoid the necessity of protonating methanol, with a pK_a of -1.5. The results of ongoing structural and kinetic studies of MetH and other corrinoid methyltransferases will be important in probing this proposed mechanism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Peter Armentrout and Richard Finke for helpful discussions and Sanmitra Deo for construction of the Asp399Asn MetH(2-649) and Asp522Asn MetH(2-649) expression plasmids.

REFERENCES

- Banerjee, R. V., Frasca, V., Ballou, D. P., and Matthews, R. G. (1990) *Biochemistry* 29, 11101-11109.
- Goulding, C. W., Postigo, D., and Matthews, R. G. (1997) *Biochemistry* 36, 8082-8091.
- Goulding, C. W., and Matthews, R. G. (1997) *Biochemistry* 36, 15749-15757.
- Garrow, T. A. (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 22831-22838.
- Roberts, D. L., Zhao, S., Doukov, T., and Ragsdale, S. W. (1994) *J. Bacteriol.* 176, 6127-6130.
- Hu, S.-I., Pezacka, E., and Wood, H. G. (1884) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 8892-8897.
- Ragsdale, S. W., Lindahl, P. A., and Munck, E. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 14289-14297.
- Gartner, P., Weiss, D. S., Harms, U., and Thauer, R. K. (1994) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 226, 465-472.
- Vanelli, T., Messmer, M., Studer, A., Vuilleumier, S., and Leisinger, T. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 96, 4615-4620.
- Hippler, B., and Thauer, R. K. (1999) *FEBS Lett.* 449, 165-168.
- Studer, A., Vuilleumier, S., and Leisinger, T. (1999) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 264, 242-249.
- Drennan, C. L., Huang, S., Drummond, J. T., Matthews, R. G., and Ludwig, M. L. (1994) *Science* 266, 1669-1674.
- Wirt, M. D., Kumar, M., Ragsdale, S. W., and Chance, M. R. (1993) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 115, 2146-2150.
- Harms, U., and Thauer, R. K. (1996) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 241, 149-154.
- Drummon, J. T., Huang, S., Blumenthal, R. M., and Matthews, R. G. (1993) *Biochemistry* 32, 9290-9295.
- Fujii, K., and Huennekens, F. M. (1979) in *Biochemical Aspects of Nutrition* (Yagi, K., Ed.) pp 173-184, University Park Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Luschinsky, C. L., Drummond, J. T., and Matthews, R. G. (1992) *J. Mol. Biol.* 225, 557-560.
- Zydowsky, T. M., Courtney, L. F., Frasca, V., Kobayashi, K., Shimizu, H., Yuen, L.-D., Matthews, R. G., Benkovic, S. J., and Floss, H. G. (1986) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 108, 3152-3153.
- Schrauzer, G. N., and Deutsch, E. (1969) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 91, 3341-3350.
- Brown, K. L. (1982) in *B₁₂* (Dolphin, D., Ed.) pp 245-294, Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Hilhorst, E., Iskander, A. S., Chen, T., and Pandit, U. K. (1993) *Tetrahedron Lett.* 34, 4257-4260.
- Hilhorst, E., Iskander, A. S., Chen, T., and Pandit, U. K. (1994) *Tetrahedron* 50, 8863-8870.
- Taylor, R. T., and Hanna, M. L. (1972) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 151, 401-413.
- Zhao, S., Roberts, D. L., and Ragsdale, S. W. (1995) *Biochemistry* 34, 15075-15083.
- Matthews, R. G. (1986) *Methods Enzymol.* 122, 333-339.
- Mathews, C. K., and Heunneken, F. M. (1963) *J. Biol. Chem.* 238, 3436.
- Blakely, R. L. (1960) *Nature* 188, 231-232.
- Banerjee, R. V., Johnston, N. L., Sobeski, J. K., Datta, P., and Matthews, R. G. (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264, 13888-13895.
- Jarrett, J. T., Goulding, C. G., Fluhr, K., Huang, S., and Matthews, R. G. (1997) *Methods Enzymol.* 281, 196-213.
- Doddrell, D. M., Pegg, D. T., and Bendall, M. R. (1982) *J. Magn. Reson.* 48, 323.
- Langsetmo, K., Fuchs, J. A., and Woodward, C. (1991) *Biochemistry* 30, 7603-7609.
- Jarrett, J. T., Choi, C. Y., and Matthews, R. G. (1997) *Biochemistry* 36, 15739-15748.
- Ellis, K. J., and Morrison, J. F. (1982) *Methods Enzymol.* 87, 405-426.
- Zhou, Z. S., Peariso, K., Penner-Hahn, J. E., and Matthews, R. G. (1999) *Biochemistry* 38, 15915-15926.
- Segel, I. H. (1975) *Enzyme Kinetics: Behavior and Analysis of Rapid Equilibrium and Steady-State Enzyme Systems*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Whiteley, J. M., and Russell, A. (1981) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 101, 1259-1265.
- Breitmaier, E. (1993) *Structure elucidation by NMR in organic chemistry: a practical guide*, Wiley, Chichester, England, and New York.
- Kallen, R. G., and Jencks, W. P. (1966) *J. Biol. Chem.* 241, 5845-5846.
- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D. J. (1997) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389-3402.
- Thompson, J. D., and Higgins, D. G. (1994) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673-4680.
- Hampele, I. C., D'Arcy, A., Dale, G. E., Kostrewa, K., Nielsen, J., Oefner, C., Page, M. G. P., Schonfeld, H., Stuber, D., and Then, R. L. (1997) *J. Mol. Biol.* 268, 21-30.
- Achari, A., Somers, D. O., Champness, J. N., Bryant, P. K., Rosemond, J., and Stammers, D. K. (1997) *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 4, 490-497.
- Rost, B. (1996) *Methods Enzymol.* 266, 525-539.
- Kaufman, S. (1967) *J. Biol. Chem.* 242, 3934-3943.
- Davis, M. D., and Kaufman, S. (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264, 8585-8596.
- Daas, P. J. H., Hagen, W. R., Keltjens, J. T., van der Drift, C., and Vogels, G. D. (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 22346-22351.
- Sauer, K., and Thauer, R. (1999) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 261, 674-681.
- Burke, S. A., and Krzycki, J. A. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 16570-16577.
- Ferguson, D. J. J., and Krzycki, J. A. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 846-852.
- Tallant, T. C., and Krzycki, J. A. (1997) *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 6902-6911.
- Collman, J. P., Hegedus, L. S., Norton, J. R., and Finke, R. G. (1987) *Principles and applications of organotransition metal chemistry*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA.
- Clemmer, D. E., and Armentrout, P. B. (1989) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 111, 8280-8281.
- Jencks, W. P. (1972) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 94, 4731-4732.
- Silverman, R. B., and Dolphin, D. (1976) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 98, 4626-4633.
- Seravalli, J., Shoemaker, R. K., Sudbeck, M. J., and Ragsdale, S. W. (1999) *Biochemistry* 38, 5736-5745.
- Li, Y. N., Gulati, S., Baker, P. J., Brody, L. C., Banerjee, R., and Kruger, W. D. (1996) *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 5, 1851-1858.
- Swedberg, G., Fermer, C., and Skold, O. (1993) *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 338, 555-558.
- Doukov, T., Seravalli, J., Stezowski, J. J., and Ragsdale, S. W. (2000) *Structure* 8, 817-830.